*Paucity of means of communication in the Parakadavu Pakuthi, Parur Taluk.*

221. **MR. A. G. MENON** (*Parur*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether they are aware of the extreme paucity of means of communication in the Parakadavu pakuthi of the Parur taluk;

(*b*) whether they have received any representation about the same from the people of that pakuthi; and

(*c*) whether they are aware that, owing to the lay of the pakuthi and the nature of the soil, construction of roads in the pakuthi would be much less costly and much more easy than it would be in many of the other places in the northern taluks?

**MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR** (*Secretary to Government*): (*a*) It is reported that there is a paucity of communications in the Parakadavu pakuthi.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) Yes.

*Additional District Judges.*

222. **MR. MURUVELIL PACHU PILLAI** (*Shertallai*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether they take into consideration the services of the Sirkar Vakils also when Additional District Judges are appointed from among them;

(*b*) if the answer to part (*a*) is in the affirmative, whether there are not Sirkar Vakils who have put in longer service than those Sirkar Vakils who have been appointed District Judges now (18-2-1104); and

(*c*) the reasons for not appointing them?

**MR. K. GEORGE** (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) There may be.

(*c*) Length of service alone is not taken into consideration in the appointment of Second Judges and District Judges. There are other considerations as well.

*Acting Police Inspectors.*

223. **MR. MURUVELIL PACHU PILLAI** (*Shertallai*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether in the matter of determining the seniority of Head Constables for appointment as acting Inspectors of Police, it is the date of their first acting appointment as Police Inspectors, or their service and higher pay that is being taken into account;

(*b*) whether this has been questioned at any time;

(*c*) whether the Commissioner of Police has called for the views of the Account Officer for meeting the objections raised;

(*d*) if the answer to part (*c*) is in the affirmative, whether the Account Officer has given any reply in the matter, and, if so, the purport of his reply; and

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. Muruvelil Pachu Pillai.]

(*e*) whether, in case the question of seniority is to be determined in accordance with the date on which one began to act first, any Head Constable acting as an Inspector of Police from 1094 onwards has been reverted to his original post at present (18-2-1104) and whether any Head Constable, acting as an Inspector only from 1095 onwards, has been again appointed now as an acting Inspector ,and, if so, the reasons for the same?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (*a*) Select and deserving Head Constables are promoted as acting Inspectors of Police on considerations based at the outset on the length of their service, their general character and ability and their educational qualifications. The date of first selection can possibly come in for consideration only for determining their seniority for purposes of confirmation or their reappointment as acting Inspectors in vacancies that come into existence after the termination of their first acting appointments.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) and (*d*) In May 1928, Mr. J. J. Daniel, District Superintendent of Police, who was then in charge of the duties of the Commissioner of Police, sought the views of the Account Officer as to the relative seniority of two Head Constables who were acting as Inspectors of Police and the reply* given him by the Account Officer is laid on the table.

(*e*) The following is a list of Head Constables who began to act as Inspectors in 1094 and 1095 ;—

| 1094. | 1095. |
|---|---|
| 1. K. Nainan. | 1. K. Govindan Unnittan. |
| 2. N. Kesava Pillai. | 2. K. S. Meenakshinata Aiyara |
| 3. K. Rama Rao. | 3. G. Paramesvaran Pillai. |

Of these, No. 1 in the list for 1094 and Nos. 1 and 2 in the list for 1095 were acting as Inspectors on the 18th Kanni 1104, their retention being based on merit. No appointment of an acting Inspector was made on the date in question.

*Receipts from Income Tax and Customs Duty from Alleppey.*

224. MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table a statement showing the receipts from Alleppey under income tax and customs duty separately for the last two years (1102 and 1103)?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*): The information required by the member is given below:

| *Year.* | *Income tax.* | | | *Customs duty.* | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | Chs. | C. | Rs. | Chs. | C. |
| 1102 | 58,508 | 22 | 6 | 13,46,014 | 19 | 9 |
| 1103 | 97,917 | 16 | 0 | 11,45,455 | 22 | 14 |

* *Vide* Appendix II—page 449.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

# Appendix II.

*Vide* **Answer to Question No. 223.**

No. G. A. 1359.

Account Office,
Trivandrum, 26th June 1928

From

The Account Officer,
Trivandrum.

To

The Commissioner of Police,
Travancore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. R. O. C. 476/Genl. of 25, dated 31st May 1928, regarding the relative seniority of Messrs. K. Ninan and K. Govindan Unnittan, I have the honour to inform you that it is seen from the Statements furnished by you that the former is senior to the latter in their substantive appointments of 1st grade Head Constables. As acting Sub-Inspector also Mr. Ninan must be considered as senior since he began to act earlier and has put in longer officiating service than Mr. Govindan Unnittan. It is presumed that the former's reversion to his substantive appointment earlier was not due to inefficiency or misconduct. But it is observed that as acting 3rd grade Inspector of Police Mr. Unnittan was appointed earlier and has put in longer total service than Mr. Ninan. This office has no information as to the circumstances under which Mr. Unnittan was appointed as acting Inspector earlier than Mr. Ninan and allowed to continue as such even after Mr. Ninan's reversion in Karkatakam 1102. I may however state that if Mr. Ninan's reversion earlier was not due to inefficiency or misconduct and if there is nothing on record against him to supersede his claims for promotion, he should be considered as senior to Mr. Unnittan in the list of acting Inspectors.

I have etc.,
(Sd.) C. S. KRISHNASWAMI AIYAR,
*Assistant Account Officer,*
*for Account Officer.*



LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly